

FACT-CHECK

PORTFOLIO COMMITTEE HEARING WITH SAFA

3 June 2025

ISSUE / QUESTION	SAFA's RESPONSE
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> On Jordaan's Travel Expenses and the 2027 Women's World Cup Bid 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jordaan: Why not ask about who else also were on these trips? Jordaan: South Africa was bidding for the 2027 Women's World Cup, so travel was necessary.
<p>FACT CHECK:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> South Africa did not make a Bid. Instead, Jordaan and SAFA broke the law by mounting an unauthorised Bid! The <i>Bidding and Hosting of International Sport and Recreational Events Regulations, 2010</i> mandates that any sport federation who wishes to bid for an international event must obtain the written permission of the Minister (through SASCOG). SAFA mounted the bid despite knowing that government was not prepared to provide the guarantees, which is a material condition for bidding for any FIFA event. Government merely approved of SAFA's <i>Expression of Interest</i> in hosting and made it clear that it did not constitute a guarantee of support for hosting. SAFA contracted staff to work on the 2027 Bid despite being aware that it was a futile exercise. While the Bid lasted for only three months before its closure, one staff member was paid a salary for 7 months. This does not include the daily allowances for the full delegation, who stayed the full month in Australia and went to other congresses to lobby for a known doomed Bid. This amounts to fruitless and wasteful expenditure. 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Why use SAFA's money to attend FIFA events? 	<p>Jordaan: "I represent SAFA at FIFA meetings"</p>
<p>FACT CHECK:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jordaan Lied About Attending FIFA Meetings at SAFA's Cost! FIFA and CAF pay all the travel costs and allowances for all delegates traveling to its Congress. This statement is also highly misleading! FIFA Members interact with FIFA in different ways: (i) to attend FIFA Congresses, (ii) to hold special meetings regarding technical and other administrative matters, (iii) to attend training programs, (iv) to work at FIFA events, (v) to serve as advisor to FIFA: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) FIFA pays all costs for delegates attending FIFA Congresses, including daily allowances; 	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (ii) Administrative staff would normally engage FIFA administrative staff to engage on technical and other administrative matters. The initiating party would ordinarily be responsible for the costs associated with such exchanges, (iii) If FIFA conducts training programs such as legal workshops or FIFA technological systems training, FIFA pays the associated costs, (iv) If South Africans go to work at FIFA events such as the World Cup or training programs, FIFA pays all the costs, (v) FIFA may need to tap into South African experts' knowledge and, if so, FIFA pays all associated costs. • So, to use the term “<i>I'm a delegate of SAFA at FIFA</i>” without explaining the context is misleading as it only pertains to the FIFA Congress and therefore FIFA pays all the costs. • There are rarely instances where SAFA needs to physically consult FIFA on any matter. • Nobody approves Jordaan's travels. SAFA has an International Affairs Committee who should approve all international programmes and travel. Jordaan has failed to convene this Committee since 2013. Jordaan has never reported any “summons” from FIFA because FIFA does not “summons” anyone except if it is the FIFA Disciplinary Committee. So, he has overdramatized his invitations. 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MP GANA: On the U20 Coach Raymond Mdaka's salary • Does the Coach earn less than R30k per month? • Does the Coach of Bafana Bafana earn more than R1m a month? • Did SAFA pay a bonus to the Coach for winning U20 AFCON? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CEO: Cannot disclose the Coach's salary • CEO: The Coach is not earning less than R30k per month and not more than R50k per month • CEO: Yes • CEO: No.
<p><u>FACT CHECK:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Coach earns more than R30k gross, and about R25,000.00 after tax. Unsubstantiated information indicates that the Coach's salary was increased a few days before the SAFA meeting with the Committee on 3 June 2025 in anticipation that the question will arise in the Committee. 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How much does SAFA get from 10Bet and HollywoodBets? • You are saying your product is undervalued and you are signing secret deals with betting companies and you can't tell us what they are paying? We've got all the boards for 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hluyo: In terms of our sponsorship contracts, we've got confidentiality clauses. We will be in breach of our sponsorship contracts. • CEO: 10Bet is a Gold Sponsor. The packages they receive are aligned with the rights that they receive in terms of the number of minutes they get on the LED, if you use LEDs, or the number of boards they get if we use

<p>LFA's and you can't tell us what Hollywoodbets is paying for that? We know how much Betway pays the PSL.</p>	<p>static boards, and also how much they can do on campaigns by using the players and also the advertising they can do externally.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • So you're saying you get no revenue from ticketing? It says so in your statement. With all the games Bafana Bafana and Banyana Banyana play and the stadiums are full, where did the money go? • You're saying all the ticketing revenue is under Sundry Income? • How much for Bafana Bafana ticketing revenue? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hluyo: We did receive ticketing revenue and it was misallocated. It's under <i>Sundry Income</i>. • Hluyo: No, not all <i>Sundry Income</i> is ticketing revenue. <i>Sundry Income</i> means other income. • Hluyo: We can get those figures for you.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The le Coq sponsorship is coming to an end? How much are you getting from le Coq per annum? • You can't tell us how much you get from 10Bet, Hollywoodbets, le Coq. The only things you can tell us you get is from the SABC. How much are you getting from le Coq? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hluyo: Yes, it is. Unfortunately, we can't disclose... • Hluyo: We can't tell you how much we get in terms of the exact figures because we are governed by sponsorship agreements and they've got confidentiality clauses. If we disclose, then we are in breach but what we can tell you but the le Coq sponsorship include kit; they provide us with kit for all our national teams, but in terms of the value, we can't give you those values. They are confidential.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • President, are you happy with the le Coq sponsorship of the national teams? • Are you happy with how le Coq has treated the South African national team? You are the President of the Association. • As the President of the Association. • What have you done about it? Because there are some secret arrangements. What have you done about it? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jordaan: Are you asking me whether we are happy with... • Jordaan: Personally? • Jordaan: No, I'm not happy. • Jordaan: The contract is coming to an end on 31 December.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Who signed the le Coq deal? Were you part of that deal CFO? • And he signed and said you must not tell how the national team is being mistreated by a technical sponsor like le Coq? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hluyo: I was around, but it was signed by the CEO then, Mr Russell Paul. • Hluyo: Well, unfortunately, with all our sponsorship contracts there's a confidential clause.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have you opened the bidding for the sponsor of the next technical sponsor of the national teams? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hluyo: Yes, we have received a few proposals, which we are reviewing.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do they come with a bit more money than le Coq? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hluyo: Well, some of them are better than the current deal, but the focus these days is the provision of kit. So, we have to look at the quantities that a supplier will be able to supply us and the quality of the kit. So, that is what is key.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are you planning to pay the current NEC members any honorarium before the Congress? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hluyo: No, in terms of our cashflow planning we don't have a provision for honorarium.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where will the money to upgrade Fun Valley come from? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hluyo: In our presentation we also appeal to government to assist with the funding to build our national technical centre, it will be appreciated, but as we speak now, do we have the money? No, we don't. So, we are appealing to different funders; we are appealing to corporates to assist us with funding.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What's the current value of Fun Valley? Have you done a valuation of Fun Valley? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hluyo: We did a valuation of Fun Valley, but not recently; in 2023. The value was around R70m
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • President, in 2022, you said you will avail yourself as the President. • Are you available? Have you told your members that you are available? • Are you retracting the statement that you made in 2022? • You said in 2022 that you will serve your last term? • I'm asking if you did say it? • You did say it, that this is your last term. • But you are available? • If they nominate, will you avail yourself? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jordaan: That is a matter that we will discuss with the members. There is no nominations out. There is no one... Where did I say this? • Jordaan: No, I cannot recall. No. • Jordaan: If you say so. • Jordaan: I don't know. [general laughter] Members must nominate. • Jordaan: Well, we must wait and see. I don't know whether they will nominate or not nominate.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CHAIRPERSON: You say, CFO, the ticketing revenue was misallocated? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hluyo: Yes, it was misallocated in our accounts.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would you say that was a serious mistake by the auditors? • Who are the auditors? • Sondlo. That rings a bell. Is it the auditors that was fired by Magalies Water Board? • So, you did not check their resume when you appointed them? • So you don't know there's reports of misconduct? • Who appointed them? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hluyo: No. • Hluyo: Sondlo, Chartered Accountants. • I wouldn't know. I wouldn't know. • Hluyo: We did. We did. • Hluyo: No, we not aware of that? • Hluyo: It was the SAFA Congress. The Audit Committee recommend to the SAFA Congress and the Congress approved.
---	--

FACT CHECK:

- **THE ABOVE IS A MISLEADING STATEMENT BY HLUYO!** Here's Why:
- **The Auditors were appointed in violation of Articles 34.1.1 and 27.7.1.15 of the 2018 SAFA Statutes (the Statutes in force at the time)**
- According to Article 34.1.1, the SAFA NEC had no authority to appoint the auditors as it *"shall pass decisions on all cases that do not come within the sphere of responsibility of the Congress or are not exclusively reserved for other bodies by law or under these Statutes"*.
- Article 27.7.1.15 provides that Congress shall consider the *"Appointment of independent auditors (if applicable) upon the proposal of the SAFA NEC"*. The NEC could therefore only propose but not put the auditors to work without Congress approval. That has been the standard practice in SAFA since its inception.
- According to the Minutes of the 26 March 2022 Congress, under Item #12 of the agenda (*Consideration of the Consolidated and Revised Balance Sheet and Profit and Loss Statement*), Mr. Bennett Bailey, a serving NEC Member, who also served as the then Chair of the SAFA Audit Committee, **merely informed Congress** that PWC had resigned after 6 years and that **the Committee had appointed Sondlo** because the Association had been looking for a female-headed audit firm. **NOTE: PWC only served for one year.**
- Mr Bailey also **merely informed** the Congress that the Committee had *"ratified the NEC decisions"* and that *"the auditors are Sondlo CA"*, according to the same Minutes.
- According to the same Minutes, Mrs Nolubabalwa Sondlo then presented the Auditors Report, thanking SAFA for the work and confirming that they had done the audit in terms of audit regulations and without influence from management.
- Following the presentation of the Auditors Report, the financial statement (Resolution #6) was presented by Mr Mxolisi Sibam and Congress approved the statement.
- Following approval of Resolution #6, Resolution #5 was approved but there is no indication what the wording of Resolution #5 entailed.
- Then, under Item #13 of the agenda (*Consideration and Adoption of the Accounts, Audited Balance Sheet and Reports of the Auditors for the Previous Year – Financial Statements*), Mr Thabo Mashiloane, a member of the Remuneration Committee, presented the

<p>Remuneration Committee Report. Congress then duly approved the Remuneration Committee Report. There was no discussion on any of the topics listed in the agenda Item #13 heading, according to the Minutes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Audit Committee chairperson later requested the <u>retrospective</u> appointment of the Auditors on page 18 of the Minutes, which Congress duly agreed to. In light of Articles 34.1.1 and 27.7.1.15, this retrospective adoption was defective in terms of the separation of powers principle. 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On the U20's Trip to Mozambique for the U20 COSAFA Cup 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The CEO confirmed that the team travelled by bus to Mozambique
<p>FACT CHECK:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anele Mdoda discussed this issue on her show on 4 June 2025, noting that players went by bus and that the officials flew to Mozambique. • The team officials travelled by bus but Jordaan and Job Mchunu flew there. This is after they would not allow the Technical Director and Match Analyst to accompany the team due to "a lack of funds". Walter Steenbok reported this on SNAWA on 22 May 2025. 	
<p>MP MOLETSANE:</p> <p>On the departure and return of the Chief Medical Officer: He resigned in 2024 and returned in 2025. Did the NEC approve? Can we get a copy of the Minutes of the meeting where this was approved?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CEO: I did not accept the resignation. We normally sit down with people when they resign. We sit and talk to them. In a nutshell, he did not resign because I did not accept it. It was in the newspapers but it was premature.
<p>FACT CHECK:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The CEO lied about conducting exit interviews with departed staff! She never sat down with the Technical Director who departed at the end of March or with two other senior staff members who resigned in 2024. • About the CMO, the CEO simply lied by claiming to conduct exit interviews – the CMO left end of December 2024 after they manipulated his contract from a permanent employment to part-time employment. He was at home for the whole of January and February 2025 and only returned in March 2025. 	
<p>On Staff Discipline (Mphelo vs Hluyo matters)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are processes and Mphelo took her matter to the CCMA. • Govindasamy and Jordaan said that they have to wait for FIFA before concluding the investigation
<p>FACT CHECK:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jordaan's response did not address the question directly, only referring to Mphelo's case and not whether anything was done to Hluyo or Tseka • Why was the CFO not suspended after his arrest for defrauding SAFA? • Why did Jordaan not take leave of absence? 	

<p>MP KOBANE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On the Charges Against the CFO: Are you out on bail on fraud and “mismanagement case” and why did the NEC fail to charge CFO for his fraud and management of funds and does this practice raise [unclear word] as Ms Namhla Mphelo and others have been charged and fired based on allegations; • What nationality do you possess? • What other roles and responsibilities you possess in the association besides being the CFO? • You are not charged, accounted. Is it true? • Why are you failing to pay all the traveling fees to teams around South Africa? Why are you failing to give them soccer kits? How many Regions did you give kits? • Why did you not pay Bafana Bafana players? Did you pay the outstanding fees to players from January to date? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No responses provided to any of the questions in this column
<p>On Bafana Bafana Qualification Mess:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What systems are in place to prevent this from happening? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Govindasamy said that SAFA must wait for FIFA to decide and that the investigation can only be concluded when FIFA renders its decision. • He also said that SAFA was not alerted to it in the Match Commissioner’s meeting and that it came out after the game against Lesotho.
<p><u>FACT CHECK:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GOVINDASAMY LIED! Article 14(2) of the 2026 <i>FIFA World Cup Preliminary Competition Rules</i> state clearly that SAFA is responsible for keeping track of the cautions of players. • The Team Manager is primarily responsible for it because the Coach must be informed before he selects the squad. The reason for this is because the Coach must know of the status of the player before he selects his next squad. • If FIFA sent alerts in the past, it was a courtesy more than a requirement and does not absolve the association of responsibility for tracking player sanctions. • A secondary responsibility lies with the HOD. This is because the rules allow the HOD to file a complaint in case there is a protest that needs to be filed during or after a match. 	

MP Kgabo:

- Who in SAFA is responsible for interpreting the competition rules?
- You said that it is an official. That official, is it a Coach or a Team Manager?
- Who is the Team Manager?
- What punitive measures has SAFA undertaken for the Team Manager's failure to caution the Coach for fielding an ineligible player like Teboho Mokoena?
- Do you have a retirement policy in SAFA and what does the retirement policy say, and is there any of your employees in SAFA who's above the age limitation in terms of the age policy?

- Govindasamy: "If you look at the competition rules and any other document that comes to SAFA, it's the Legal Committee when there's a question when it comes to an opinion. But when it comes to a game situation, then we are not there. It should be the officials in charge at that point in time, giving direction. But as I understand the position of the Teboho Mokoena case, both the Match Commissioner, who came from Mauritius, and FIFA and CAF has not sent to SAFA and the Match Commissioner the question of his two yellow cards. So, he played innocently. It was only subsequently that this information came to light."
- Govindasamy: "Should be the Team Manager."
- Govindasamy: "Mr Vincent Tseka."
- Govindasamy: "I think, with due respect, that matter is still under investigation. We have not received a final word on the matter."
- This question was not answered.

FACT CHECK:

- **GOVINDASAMY LIED!** He is an occasional Acting Judge in the Pietermaritzburg High Court; a Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS), Arbitrator and the Chairperson of the SAFA Legal & Constitutional Affairs Committee, and should be more familiar with the football jurisprudence than most others in SAFA.
- The *FIFA World Cup 2026 Preliminary Competition Regulations* reads as follows:
 - 14 Eligibility of players**
 - 1. Each association shall ensure the following when selecting its representative team for the preliminary competition of the FIFA World Cup 2026™:
 - a. All players shall hold the nationality of its country and be subject to its jurisdiction.

b. All players shall be eligible for selection in accordance with the FIFA Statutes, the Regulations Governing the Application of the FIFA Statutes and other relevant FIFA rules and regulations.

- 2. **The participating member associations shall be responsible for fielding only eligible players.** Failure to do so shall lead to the consequences stipulated in the FIFA Disciplinary Code.
- 3. Protests regarding the eligibility of players shall be decided by the FIFA Disciplinary Committee in accordance with the FIFA Disciplinary Code.

- There is no room for misinterpretation of Regulation 14(2). **It is the responsibility of the Member Association to track the yellow cards.**
- Inside the Association, **it is the responsibility of the Team Manager to keep track of the cautions** and to inform the Coach BEFORE he selects his squad.
- The likely scenarios are:
 - The 5 points lead with solid 5 goal difference, FIFA may deduct the 3 points gained against Lesotho, and we remain with only 2 points lead with 4 games to still to play.
 - FIFA may then minus the 2 goals scored against Lesotho, which will leave us with 3 goals going forward.
 - FIFA may then impose the 3 goals deficit which will be reconciled against the remaining 3 goals forward, which will now reduce us to a ZERO goal difference. A catastrophe that's been downplayed by the leadership.
- The following links give an indication of **the lack of competence** of the Team Manager, who has not been disciplined for any of these failures:
 1. <https://www.sowetanlive.co.za/amp/sport/soccer/2022-09-20-bafana-missed-training-because-safa-did-not-book-stadium-smsa/>
 2. <https://www.news24.com/citypress/sport/bafanas-shambolic-match-travel-arrangements-20220723>
 3. <https://www.timeslive.co.za/amp/sunday-times/sport/2022-09-25-safa-close-ranks-around-bafana-boss-after-litany-of-disasters/>
 4. <https://www.news24.com/citypress/sport/tim-spirit-bafana-deserve-to-be-punished-for-fielding-an-ineligible-player-20250330>
- SAFA's retirement age is 60 years. However, it has selectively enforced the age limit. Jordaan's PA; Vincent Tseka; and Hugo Broos are all over the age of 60. It must be noted that Tseka is a friend and staunch political supporter of Jordaan.

• MP Kgabo: Does SAFA have a budget for all the competitions that Bafana Bafana and Banyana Banyana must embark on?
 • How much is it? Can you send it to us?
 • If I ask how much do you have in the bank account of SAFA, would you be able to respond to my question? Can you flight the budget on the screen?

• Hluyo: "Yes, we have."
 • Hluyo: "We can send it to you ... But we've got a budget."
 • Hluyo: "Yes, we can check ... We can log on to check how much is in the account."

FACT CHECK:

- According to several current NEC Members, **SAFA has not had an approved budget for two consecutive years. If true, this statement is a LIE!**

On SAFA's Retirement Age

SAFA did not respond to the question

FACT CHECK:

- **SAFA uses the retirement policy selectively** depending on whether you are in the good books of Jordaan or not. It was liberally used in the case of former CEO Dennis Mumble, Barney Kujane, and Fran Hilton-Smith, but it has not been enforced in Vincent Tseka and Jordaan's PA's matters, both of whom are over the SAFA retirement age. Hugo Broos is also over 70 years of age.

Legal Costs

No detailed response to this question

•

FACT CHECK:

- This is not just a matter of aggrieved parties taking legal action against SAFA. It is also about SAFA using lawyers extensively to silence its critics, at great cost to the association, who is technically bankrupt.
- SAFA / Jordaan is engaged in lawfare and has filed defamation lawsuits against Levy Ramajoe, Dennis Mumble, City Press, NewzRoom Afrika, Sowetan, JJ Tabane and Bart Henderson, and has threatened legal action against Fran Hilton-Smith, Enzo Coppola, and Willie Mooka after they criticized Jordaan's leadership of SAFA.
- None of these legal actions have been approved by the SAFA NEC. The Pretoria High Court ruled on 14 October 2025 that SAFA must hand over documents in an application brought by Bart Henderson, who was being sued by Jordaan and in which SAFA refused to discover any documents. During the previous week, SAFA failed to appear in court in an action it brought against the Hawks and the Magistrate who issued the search and seizure for a raid on SAFA House on 8 March 2024. These actions are indicative of Jordaan and SAFA's tactic to silence their critics through lawfare.
- The same legal firm, which was brought in by the former CEO, Tebogo Motlanthe, has been blessed with a green contract to act as a spokesperson and to conduct lawfare against everyone who challenges the status quo.

On Women's Empowerment:

- Jordaan claimed that SAFA is committed to women's empowerment in football.
- Jordaan: Can I just go back to the lady here who asked about the women. It is a fact that women are underrepresented. What we have decided, in the next Congress every – we have 52 Regions – every Region must have at least one woman. There's one Region that had an entire women delegation.
- Jordaan: I'm saying at a minimum. So you can have two; you can have three; and one Region came with three women delegates and no men.

- Jordaan: This is the position because we have 52 Regions, times 3 is 150 women in that Congress. Those who have three, you will go to **200 women in that Congress**. Our Congress is a mass — a big gathering of people and there's a significant voice of women there.

- Jordaan: Not only that they are women; they are quality women representatives making their voice loud and clear in the Congress. **You are invited to the SAFA Congress** and see them. And some of them are trade union leaders. So, they are leaders in other fields and not just in football. I hope that will suffice, but we are — this is an issue that is an ongoing matter and we will deal with the matter further.

MP DANIELS:

- **Is the Boys Brigade the reason why Bafana is favoured over Banyana?**

- Jordaan: I think in spite of it being the Boys Brigade, we have a real commitment to women's football. We've already decided in 2013 that all our women teams must be coached by women and we've accelerated women coach education, women participation in the governance structures and so on. We've not arrived at an ideal situation but this is something that we'll continue to work on.

- Jordaan: So, the question of the sponsorship for Bafana; you see the long list of sponsors for Bafana; Banyana, only two sponsors. This is a reluctance, maybe the boys club is also in the corporate boardrooms and they take boys club decisions and not benefit women football.

- Jordaan: And I don't think football is alone. If you look at rugby and women's rugby; you look at the men's cricket and women's cricket, and you can go through; see how much does the Springboks earn; how much does the women team earn, and so on.

- Jordaan: But this is an issue not just for SAFA. It is a societal issue. It is an issue we will happily work with you on this question because it is important that we address this issue. So, I just want to say that this is a matter that of course is not the end but it's a matter that will continue and hopefully we'll see a breakthrough on sponsorship for women's football.

FACT CHECK:

- This is pure gaslighting and demonstrates a profound **disrespect for the Portfolio Committee**. Part of Jordaan's statement is a lie and the other part is deliberately misleading!

- SAFA had a practice of requiring at least one woman delegate from each Region to attend Congress since 2013. So, what he reported is not new. What needs to be asked is why has this situation not improved to another level since that Congress 12 years ago.

- In 2017, the SAFA Congress approved a resolution requiring every Region and Province to include at least one woman on their Executive Committees and elect one woman VP. However, in 2022 (5 years later) SAFA tightened the qualification criteria for election to the NEC to require 10 years of experience at the Regional level. This effectively excluded most of the 806 women who first qualified in 2017 from eligibility for election to the NEC.

- SAFA also **removed the Women's Committee** from the constitution approved in 2022.

- So, the SAFA NEC will remain a male-dominated structure.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SAFA also got rid of the successful Live Your Goal girls football development programme in 2020. • Article 22 of the SAFA Statutes stipulate that each of the 52 Regions is entitled to no more than three delegates (156); each Associate Member is allowed no more than 2 delegates (20), and the PSL is allowed no more than six delegates (6). This amounts to 182 delegates. How could there be 150 or even 200 women in a Congress? • Jordaan was gaslighting the Committee here: He was fully aware that the negotiations with Sasol were not going anywhere and that the sponsorship contract would end on 30 June 2025. According to newspaper reports, Sasol had not renewed the sponsorship but the media could not get confirmation from SAFA or Sasol. 	
Gender parity on executive level. What will SAFA do about gender parity on executive level, not on backroom staff.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jordaan stated that SAFA takes women’s empowerment seriously and that it will require that every delegation the next Congress include at least one woman.
<p>FACT CHECK:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See the previous Fact Check in the column above. • SAFA had long moved past the requirement of one woman delegate per Region and decided it must include a constitutional provision to ensure women’s representation in SAFA’s structures. 	
VW sponsored vehicles for women. Are females driving these vehicles?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No response to this question
Honoraria for NEC Members	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No SAFA response to this question
<p>FACT CHECK:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In 2022, the SAFA Congress approved honoraria payments for NEC members for 4 years at the rate of R124,158.00 for 2019 and R130,000.00 per year from 2020 to 2022. But this was not paid in the same year. So, each member was actually paid the full amount of R514,158.00 over a couple of years. So, for 2022, the total payment actually amounted to R6,110,000.00 [<i>see note below for 2022</i>]. Also, see the Congress Minutes of 26 March 2022 • The Remco recommendation, approved by Congress, included a “reconciliation” clause intended to compensate NEC members who received only 50% honoraria in years when they were “penalized” for the association’s poor financial performance. So, there is in fact no penalty for poor performance. • The approved honorarium included a full payment of R130,000.00 for 2020, a year in which no activities took place in football. • The approval also included a provision to increase the honorarium for 2022 to a bigger amount in lieu of the increased revenue expected ruing that year. So, the amount listed above for 2022 may be even higher. • Additionally, each member is still paid a meeting allowance for every meeting they attend and a fuel allowance if they travel by road to the meeting. 	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In 2013, the Congress approved only a 50% honorarium because the Association reported poor results. That practice no longer happens and results in poor accountability. 	
Size of the NEC	<p>Jordaan says the matter was discussed with FIFA and dismissed and that there was an agreement not to reduce the NEC. When asked if FIFA said that it was fine, he responded that “that FIFA does not exist anymore” and it was agreed to leave the matter.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jordaan: It is not for me to agree or not agree with the size of the NEC. It is a matter for the members to decide and that it is in the constitution.
<p><u>FACT CHECK:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • THIS IS A LIE! The matter was never discussed with FIFA after 2013. On the contrary, the SAFA Congress debated it and decided in September 2015 that the NEC must be reduced to 20 persons. Jordaan unilaterally decided not to implement a decision of his own Congress. • FIFA has never split itself into two entities or disavowed by default, decisions taken by previous administrations of FIFA. 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are you happy with the size of your NEC? • Do you believe that it is a size that yields the benefits that you'd like to see out of an NEC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jordaan: “The NEC doesn’t depend on my happiness or unhappiness. That is something that the NEC or the Congress can debate on.”
<p><u>FACT CHECK:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See response in the previous column immediately above 	
The Western Cape Sasol Teams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modipa said that they were asking for a review of the court’s decision in the matter where he was held personally liable for failing to implement a court order. He claimed that the Province suspended clubs who needed to be suspended.
<p><u>FACT CHECK:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • THIS REFLECTS A GROSS ABUSE OF POWER! The Province is a structure without a constitution, rules and regulations that empowers it to suspend any person or club. Suspensions can only occur after due process and adjudicated upon by a duly authorized Disciplinary Committee. The Provincial Executive Committee does not have disciplinary powers. • The clubs protested after SAFA Western Cape wanted them to pay their affiliation fees but had not paid them their prize monies and travel costs for the past couple of seasons. • The Province tried to appeal 3 times and lost all three appeals. • By defying a court order, Who is paying these wasted costs? This is how development funds are spent in a fruitless and wasteful manner. 	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modipa LIED! The clubs made a proposal as per the order of the Cape High Court and the Province has simply not entertained it and wants the court order reviewed – without a stay of execution of the order. • All the Leagues belongs to SAFA National and only coordinated at Provincial and Regional Levels. • The flawed Statutes of 26 March 2022 has reduced all Provinces to ordinary structures only responsible for coordinating competitions in the respective Provinces. • As much as they submitted a requisition to add clubs to increase streams, they don't have any power to suspend or expel anyone. • Theirs is no such thing as a Provincial Congress. It doesn't exist. 	
The Girls High Performance Centre	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The CFO said they have 24 girls, 10 at HPC and 14 at Clapham High School. But the CEO said they have 25 girls at HPC.
<p><u>FACT CHECK:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can they elaborate where the 14 girls are staying? • How much is HPC owed and from which year? • Our investigation (5 June 2025) shows that there were no girls at the HPC because of non-payment and that 15 girls were at Clapham High School, waiting to hear if they will be admitted to the HPC. 	
On the SAFA National Staff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The CEO and CFO said that they do not only do national teams • CEO says she normally sits down with people who resign “to talk to them”.
<p><u>FACT CHECK:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can more information be provided for those other responsibilities? Coaching and refereeing courses are provided by external professionals. What are the other staff doing at HQ • The CEO Lied about sitting down with departed staff! She never sat down with the Technical Director who departed at the end of March or with two other senior staff members who resigned in 2024. • About the CMO, the CEO simply lied by claiming to conduct exit interviews – the CMO left end of December 2024 after they manipulated his contract from permanent employment to part-time employment. He was at home for the whole of January and February 2025 and only returned in March 2025. 	
On the DSAC Advance to SAFA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SAFA said the money will be paid back to DSAC through automatic deduction from the future allocation of R6,7m. • DSAC said the money will not be paid back.
<p><u>FACT CHECK:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Did SAFA account to DSAC on how the advance was spent? 	

- Whose version is correct on the repayment terms of the advance? SAFA's or DSAC's?

On the MYSAFA Matter

-

FACT CHECK:

- SAFA signed a 2-year contract with Inqaku on 14 November 2016. SAFA would retain ownership of the database and intellectual property, and a copy of the software would be handed to SAFA at the end of the agreement in November 2018. SAFA retained the option to source a new service provider at its sole discretion. The contract was renewed in 2018 on much less favourable terms to SAFA. Why? Who owns the product now?
- FIFA offered their FIFA Connect system to SAFA at no cost which would definitely benefit football as LFAs and Regions could've generated revenue from player registrations unlike the MySAFA system, which is operated by a third party, which is a private company.
- In 2023, the Saudi Arabia Football Federation also offered SAFA a state of the art, consolidated registration system with integrated AI, which addresses and increases productivity across all portfolios in SAFA at no cost. But due to a lack of administrative and political will both offers have been rejected with inadequate reasons pushing grassroots football further into the doldrums.

- On Fun Valley

- Can SAFA provide a valuation certificate to confirm the valuation?

- SAFA outlined the plans for Fun Valley and appealed to government and corporates for assistance to complete the project.
- Hluyo said the valuation was R70m in 2023

FACT CHECK:

- The Fun Valley plans have been in place since 2014. The only improvements made were the installation of two soccer fields and a guard house. Bafana Bafana and Banyana Banyana still cannot use it. Junior team players have difficulty studying there because of a lack of internet connectivity. The R2m guard house built in 2018 is not in practical use.
- If it is a technical centre, what technical matters other than team training takes place there? What research is being conducted there? Can they provide a description of what they mean by "Technical Centre".
- See the Carte Blanche episode aired on 1 October 2023 for a realistic view of the condition of the centre.
<https://youtu.be/w3DUPNoqjHk?si=VkZfP1N-YTpPUlun>
- Some NEC members were informally briefed that the initial due-diligence conducted proved that the previous owner generated revenue of R10 million per annum. An expectation was triggered that it was going to take SAFA only 6 and half years to recoup its investment of R67million.
- This due-diligence report proved to be false as SAFA could only generate an annual revenue of between R3m and R4m at most. The place has been operating at a loss for many years.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The FIFA Forward Project allocated R8 million for infrastructure upgrades at Fun Valley where R2,3m was allegedly earmarked for erecting ablution facilities and a portable pavilion in 2023 and to date, these improvements have not been made. • In addition, the FIFA Forward Program further allocated \$430,000 to establish a merchandising store, which is nowhere to be found, even after removing a highly competent and dynamic young woman from her responsibilities in Executive Support to be the Manager of a non-existing merchandising store, in order to make room for Jordaan’s PA from the Legacy Trust. • Furthermore, the previous NEC that served until 26 June 2022, officially resolved to procure 52 Isuzu bakkies with the final tranches of the Legacy Trust of R27m. R5m was going to be retained for winding up the Trust and only R22m would have been transferred to SAFA. Not a single bakkie has been procured. 		
On the Conduct of the CEO	The CEO said that there are NEC members who have accused her of misconduct	
<p><u>FACT CHECK:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What are the accusations and have these been dealt with at the NEC? • In reality, Gladwyn White and Monde Montshiwa have accused the CEO of neglect of duty after she failed to convene NEC meetings as agreed at the NEC Meeting in January 2025. • She was also accused of failing to act against the CFO after he was charged with theft and fraud. • She wrote a letter to the Palm Ridge Magistrates Court distancing SAFA, whose interests are represented by the State, from the criminal case against Jordaan and Hluyo, without NEC consent. • She consistently issues media statements defending Jordaan, who is criminally charged with defrauding SAFA. • She undermines the SAFA NEC resolutions on the instructions of Jordaan as she convenes and postpones NEC meetings whenever it please Jordaan, despite it being a constitutional requirement that NEC meetings be held at least once every quarter. 		
On the current liabilities vs current assets	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hluyo acknowledged this imbalance. • The Chair of the SAFA Audit Committee stated that the situation results from unfunded expenditure, and not budgeting properly. He also stated that SAFA had R250,000.00 in unencumbered properties and before SAFA took out loans using the properties as security, that it should have a turnaround strategy; he asked them for a payment strategy to ensure arrangements have been made in terms of that; 	
<p><u>FACT CHECK:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SAFA has presented roughly the same turnaround strategy for the last 5 years, including raising funds from players and clubs through a R100 and R200 annual fee from 3 million players. SAFA knew that this plan was not feasible because it is not affordable for clubs. Each club 		

<p>with three divisions of 18 players each would have to pay SAFA national an annual registration fee of R10,800.00 in addition to affiliation fees to the LFA and Region. There are no commensurate benefits in return for this investment by a club. Most clubs will simply not afford this fee. The turnaround strategies have not yielded the desired results.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SAFA is technically bankrupt based on its latest financials • SAFA has not had an approved budget for two consecutive years (2023/24 and 2024/5) • The 2023 current ratio of 0.13, express that SAFA has only 13 cents of current assets for every R1 of current liabilities. • The 2024 current ratio of 0.28, express that SAFA only has 28 cents of current assets for every R1 of current liabilities. • A ratio below 1 suggests liquidity issues, meaning SAFA is definitely struggling to cover its short-term obligations. • The ability to meet short-term obligations with the ratio is well below 1, it signals poor liquidity. 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MP TROLLIP: Who is the official who failed to keep track of the yellow cards? How much is he paid? And what happened to him for not doing his job? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Govindasamy: That matter is under investigation. It will be dealt with and names have been bandied about. But when FIFA makes that decision, then only the investigation can be completed. The investigation is incomplete at the moment.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CHAIRPERSON: What systems does SAFA have in place to prevent this? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Govindasamy: I think the problem here was that FIFA and the Match Commissioner were not informed of the yellow cards and, when you get to a Match Commissioner's meeting, then you are alerted to it. Even SAFA was not alerted to it officially of Mokoena's two yellow cards. It came up after the game against Lesotho. So, I don't want to say too much about it because people will feel they are prejudiced about their own rights concerning this game. It's a very serious matter. From the Legal Committee's point of view, I've engaged the CEO on this matter because there are queries that Mr Trollip has, lots of queries that come to me, as an individual. It has to be answered.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MP TROLLIP: This has got nothing to do with FIFA or CAF. There is the responsibility of a prescribed official in SAFA to count how many yellow cards there are to prevent a player from going onto the field and compromising a crucial outcome. We don't need FIFA, we don't need anything. Have any steps been taken against that individual? And I read an article that said this individual is paid something like over a 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Govindasamy: I'll just answer that again. I cannot over-emphasise the fact that if someone says that FIFA and CAF are not involved in this; they are involved in this. This was a World Cup qualifier, so you got to wait for all the information to filter through. To give answers here today because Mr Trollip is saying so that it doesn't concern FIFA and CAF, that's not true. That's wrong to say that.

<p>hundred-odd thousand Rand a month to count yellow cards and he didn't count the yellow cards and potentially jeopardised our qualification for the World Cup. What accountability steps were taken with this official? Or is it just do-as-you-please in this organisation?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jordaan: No, there will be accountability, but we'll have to wait for all the reports to come in. If you act against any staff member, they also have the right to take up the matter, as we have seen with Namhla going to the CCMA, going to court, and then you must defend your position. And our position is clear; we will defend on the side of what is right, and unfortunately, we cannot do it at this stage. So, I must just plead for ... give us some space so that we can deal with the matter.
--	---

FACT CHECK:

- **This is pure gaslighting.** Mr Govindasamy is a lawyer, occasional Acting Judge, a CAS Arbitrator, and the chairperson of the SAFA Legal Committee and should know better.
- CAF had nothing to do with this match because it was a FIFA World Cup qualifier, played under FIFA's rules.
- **There is no need to wait for FIFA.** The only role for FIFA in this saga is for the FIFA DC to pronounce the sanction on the team. The FIFA Disciplinary Committee will act according to Article 19 of the FIFA Disciplinary Code. That Article states:
 1. If a player fielded in a match and/or competition is declared ineligible, the FIFA judicial bodies, taking into consideration the integrity of the competition concerned, **may impose any appropriate disciplinary measures.**
 2. If a player fielded in a match is declared ineligible following a protest, the team to which the player belongs will be sanctioned by forfeiting the match and paying a minimum fine of CHF 6,000. The player may also be sanctioned.
 3. The Disciplinary Committee may act *ex officio*.
- In this case, Lesotho did not file a valid protest, so Article 19(2) is not applicable and there will be **no automatic points deduction**. But the DC can still deduct the points at its discretion in terms of Article 19(1).
- So, in terms of the Code, **the FIFA DC can decide to deduct the 3 points or impose a fine or both. They will not pronounce on the guilt or innocence of the responsible person in the responsible party.** That is SAFA's decision regardless of the FIFA DC verdict.
- The DC will be faced with two scenarios, so the following principles apply.
- **In the first scenario, if the rules are not silent** on who is responsible for ensuring only eligible players are fielded, the party stated in the rules as the responsible party must ensure that player is not fielded. In the case in point, Article 14(2) of the *FIFA World Cup 2026 Preliminary Competition Regulations* places the responsibility on the participating member to ensure that only eligible players are fielded.
- **In the second scenario, if the rules are silent**, the principle of ***strict liability*** applies, meaning that the team is responsible for ensuring that the ineligible player does not participate in the next match, even if the rules do not explicitly state who is responsible for enforcement.
- FIFA and CAS consistently apply the principle of *strict liability* to disciplinary matters, including suspensions due to yellow card accumulation.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If Article 14(2) did not exist, the principle of strict liability will apply because Article 9(3) of the <i>FIFA World Cup 2026 Preliminary Competition Regulations</i> states that if players or team officials receive two cautions in one match (in matches decided by penalties) or in two different matches of the competition, they will be automatically suspended from their team’s subsequent match. • Even if the tournament organizer fails to notify the team of the suspension, the team is still expected to be aware of the player's eligibility status. • As noted above, Article 14(2) of the <i>FIFA World Cup 2026 Preliminary Competition Regulations</i> makes the participating member association the responsible party. • The practical implications are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Teams are expected to track their players' cautions and suspensions. ○ If an ineligible player participates, the team could face disciplinary sanctions such as forfeiture of the match (e.g., a 3-0 loss by default). ○ Tournament organizers (FIFA or CAF) often provide notifications, but their failure to do so does not absolve the team of responsibility. 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MP MCHUNU: • What did SAFA do with the R94 million from the Legacy Trust, especially in the rural areas? Can we see what programmes are being implemented in those areas? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hluyo: The Legacy Trust was a different entity. There was a Trust that was established after the World Cup and that Trust accounted to the Board. But we as SAFA would submit applications to the Legacy Trust for funding just like any other organisation. So we submitted applications for football development programmes. We submitted applications for the HPC (girls academy) programme that you were talking about. We submitted applications for futsal football. So we did submit applications and then we received funding and we accounted for that funding. We submitted reports to the Legacy Trust, which was a separate legal entity, of how we used the funding for. So, in terms of the Trust itself, it was a separate legal entity. It was not us.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • So that means you don’t have any programmes that are implemented as yet? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hluyo: The programmes that we applied for, we implemented them and we supplied reports to the Trust. So we submitted reports of which programmes we implemented and including the financial reports. So we submitted those reports to the Trust.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can you provide us with those programmes. We want to know what programmes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hluyo: Okay, we will. We will provide you with that.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The SAFA CFO said SAFA has annual audits [of] its financial statements. Was the 2019 AFS audited and presented to the Congress? Can you share the Minutes of that sitting? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hluyo: Yes, we can. I can repeat, since 1991 every year without fail, we have audited financial statements. So, the 2019 audited financial statements, we will provide you with that.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the national office salary? Why do you have so many employees at national level but not provincial level? What are they doing at national as national only deals with national teams? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hluyo: That is incorrect, Honourable Member. SAFA National does not only deal with national teams. SAFA National deals with so many activities like national t7eams, as you mentioned. We also have got coaching education; we've got referees development; we've got competitions, ABC Motsepe League, Sasol League; we have the Women's National League, which is known as the Hollywoodbets; we also deal with grassroots football. SAFA does not deal with national teams.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the total budget allocated to football academies in South Africa, particularly in rural areas and how many academies are currently funded? Please provide a breakdown of the funding distribution and the criteria used to select academies for funding? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hluyo: The only academy that we have and that we are funding is the girls academy. So we only have one academy that we are funding. We have plans in place to start a boys academy next year. But currently, as we speak, we only have one academy, which is the girls academy, the HPC that you asked earlier, the University of Pretoria. So, that's where our girls academy is.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any plans for the rural areas? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hluyo: Funding permits, yes. If we get the funding, we'll gladly do that. But currently, as we indicated earlier, that we don't have funding. So, that's why, for now, we have a national academy.
<p>FACT CHECK:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In February 2021, the Legacy Trust, in anticipation of its imminent closure, allocated R40m for direct transfer to SAFA's Regions and the High Performance Centre. That money never reached the SAFA Regions. • Two Trustees (Ria Ledwaba and Elvis Shishana) also requested the bank statements of the Trust to satisfy themselves that the money was used for its intended purpose. Jordaan refused to make the statements available despite the approval of the Trust in a formal meeting. Instead, he engineered the removal of the two Trustees on spurious grounds, stating that FIFA directed the closure and removal. However, 	

<p>when the Trustees enquired from FIFA, FIFA stated the opposite. This matter has still not been resolved because both Trustees have been removed from the SAFA structures.</p>	
<p>MP SALIE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I want to see the content of those contracts that you're hiding because that should not be hidden from this Portfolio. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Response deferred
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meetings of the NEC. What is the status of the meeting of the “Presidency”? • Does SAFA have a credit card and how is it approved? • Is there chaos in SAFA? There’s no smoke without fire. You haven’t been meeting because you say you have been supporting the teams. • Who approves decisions when there are no meetings? • What is a quorum for meetings? • MP Mthethwa further outlined what steps he would like to be explained 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jordaan: I don’t have a credit card from SAFA. So, nobody needs to approve it. • Hluyo stated that SAFA has credit cards that it uses during its travels on the African continent. • Hluyo responded that there was no chaos in SAFA after it was clarified that the question did not just refer to finances, but generally, i.e. why no meetings were being held, amongst other things • Zwane offered that committees are working and that for meetings to take place, NEC members need to be flown in and that a choice needed to be made whether to fly NEC Members in or take Bafana Bafana to the Ivory Coast.
<p><u>FACT CHECK:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jordaan uses his personal credit card to incur costs and then claims the money back from SAFA. The schedule of payments MP Jacobs displayed includes those reimbursements. • NEC Meetings are <u>not optional</u>. Article 31.1 of the SAFA Statutes require that NEC Meetings be held “at least once a quarter”. Zwane made false equivalencies between NEC meetings and national team matches as if there was a choice to be made. NEC Meetings can be and has been held virtually. There is money for all committee meetings but none for NEC meetings. Committee decisions cannot be implemented until the NEC has approved it. This is called gaslighting. • Yet, many questions about Jordaan and Hluyo’s conduct have been raised in writing with the CEO and she has not responded to any of the correspondence from various NEC members. • The reality is that since Jordaan and Hluyo’s arrest there has been no discussion about this matter by the NEC. • The matter is not treated as a crisis in SAFA. A January 2025 meeting agreed that a special meeting to discuss the matter of Jordaan and Hluyo must be convened before the end of February. The CEO simply ignored this decision. 	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • They are therefore running away from accounting for their conduct. 	
<p>About Jordaan running for office again</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jordaan says he doesn't remember saying that and enquired about where and when he said it. • Jordaan says it is a decision of the 52 Members of SAFA to make. It is for them to decide. • Jordaan: In any position in a democracy, you cannot give yourself a position. You cannot decide when you stay, when you go. That is the responsibility of our 52 Regions and they will take that decision. it's not for me to say I want a position. I never said that to them. They will decide when they want and what their decision they want to take.
<p>FACT CHECK:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jordaan said it on Soccer Africa (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-Pm_vU7EuSc) and in an interview with Sowetan on 26 June 2022 (https://www.sowetanlive.co.za/sport/soccer/2022-06-26-this-is-my-last-term-as-safa-president-says-danny-jordaan/#google_vignette) • In reality, the 2026 election is being fixed right now! Jordaan is getting his allies in place through premature Regional elections. All Regions had elections in May 2022 and the term of office is uniformly for four years. However, many have now truncated their terms and are organizing their own elections in violation of the FIFA Statutes that require that elections be run by an independent Electoral Committee. This Committee no longer exists and Regions are running their own elections under the direction (not simply observation) of Head Office officials in the same way it was done in the run-up to the 2022 elections. SAFA National has no formal role to play in Regional elections but they have taken it over nevertheless. So, the SAFA elections are once again being fixed. • Jordaan, in an interview with <i>Sowetan</i> on 26 June 2022, stated that he would not run again. 	
<p>About the R10m purportedly paid to Jordaan</p>	<p>The Audit Committee Chairperson said he received documentation proving that the payments to Jordaan were legitimate.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FACT CHECK: • This requires further investigation because Jordaan has claimed in the Palm Ridge Magistrates Court in November 2024 to not receiving any money from SAFA. • He has received allowances when he travels on SAFA, CAF or FIFA business, as demonstrated in the schedule of payments in the possession of MP Jacobs. 	
<p>SAFA Congresses and the failure to present the 2019-2020 AFS</p>	<p>Jordaan: "... we'll come back and give you the accounts, the 2019 ... I just looked at my financial statements. I have 2020, 2021, 2022. I was looking for 2019. But I will bring you the 2019."</p>

FACT CHECK:

- The SAFA Congress has become less and less important as can be seen by **the removal of the Powers of Congress** from the 2022 Statutes and the number of meetings held in the last 5 years:
- **SAFA did not have congresses in 2020 and 2021 because of COVID.** The next Congress was held on 26 March 2022 (which was actually the delayed 2021 Congress). Then, they did not present the AFS for 2019-2020 but only the 2020-2021 AFS, as can be seen in the Minutes.
- So, by their own account that AFS was not presented to Congress in 2022 nor to any congress since then. They will present a prepared AFS but they must also present the recording of the Congress where it was adopted -- not the Minutes -- because they have a habit of doctoring minutes.
- All in all, **SAFA has had only two Ordinary Congresses in the last 5 years** (26 March 2022 and 14 April 2024) and two Extraordinary Congresses (18 and 25 June 2022). The 18 June congress sat for only one hour before it was forced to adjourn, wasting large sums of money.

Football for Humanity Match in Support of Palestine

- SAFA did not respond to the question

MP JACOBS:

- Does Mr Jordaan believe in the concept of democracy?
- You have respect for the concept of democracy?
- Do you understand the importance of term limits?
- Are you aware that you are one of the longest-serving Presidents of a football association on the planet?
- Do you consider yourself a responsible leader?

- I ask again: Do you consider yourself a responsible leader?
- This is not next question. I ask again; Do you consider yourself a responsible leader?
- I'm asking again. It's a yes or no question.

- Based on your travels, in an organization with limited resources, who is holding you to account

- Jordaan: "I'm the product of a struggle for democracy".
- Jordaan: "You're asking me questions that is self-evident".
- Jordaan: "I understand it. I was sitting here as a Member of Parliament".
- Jordaan: "No it's not true."

- Jordaan: "Chair, can I just understand; you said now, when we had a list of questions that we'll have all the questions and then we'll answer. Is this now a deviation from that order that you set?"
- Jordaan: "Next question"

- Jordaan: "Did you look at my areas of responsibility? I was sitting where you are sitting.
- Jordaan: "I was sitting where you are sitting. And yes, I was a member of this Parliament."

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I'm asking you. You're not the one that asks me... • If you are admitting that even more people went, this balloons the cost, and there's a reason that I'm bringing this up. The reason that I'm bringing this up is a matter of accountability. In my hands I have that you consistently postpone meetings; that your organization is consistently postponing meetings. You've had your meeting on the 25th of Jan and you yet to have another meeting that then got postponed, March, that then got postponed again. Now as we sit here to the one from March that was supposed to happen at the end of February ... [broadcast interrupted] • I find it interesting that we are using SAFA funds to attend FIFA events in that particular respect. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jordaan: "You may know; or you may not know, South Africa made a bid for the Women's World Cup. The CEO was with me. Tumi Dlamini was with me. Do you have their costs there? Do you have their flight tickets there? This is a campaign. It's a campaign and you are part of it now. So, we were in Vietnam. We made a presentation. We were lobbying to get the votes to host the Women's World Cup. Qatar, obviously, was a World Cup. And the World Cup is a 30-day period event. You can't pick major events that I've attended and put the costs. I don't travel to World Cup every year or every day. World Cup is once every four years and therefore the costs, not just for me and everyone else." Do you have for other members who also were at the World Cup?" • Jordaan: "You see, the fact that 10 people travelled to the World Cup, you take one and say this is it. I'd like to travel on your planes and pay 20,000 to go wherever. It's not real. • Jordaan [following broadcast interruption]: "... travel to major events. And by the way, I'm going to the World Cup again. And in the United States. And I don't think it's going to be 20,000 to go to the United States. I am not Bafana Bafana. I am an official. When I go to the World Cup, I go and account to FIFA. I go and account as a former member who delivered the World Cup. And when there's other World Cups I get invited and I go and explain matters there. So, it's not that the team must go then I go. That's not the case; I'm a delegate and I go regardless if the team qualifies or not. • Jordaan: I represent SAFA. I'm a delegate of SAFA at FIFA. Don't you understand that?
--	--

FACT CHECK:
SAFA is not a democratic organisation. Here's why:

- Article 15(h) of the FIFA Statutes mandates that SAFA's Statutes include a definition of the competencies of all of its bodies.

- SAFA has 7 bodies. According to the separation of powers principle in organisational governance, each body's powers must be clearly defined to establish an equitable balance of powers (the proverbial checks and balances principle). Following this principle, the 2022 Statutes go on to outline the powers of each of the other six bodies — but **notably omits the powers of Congress**.
- This omission firmly tilts the balance of power toward the executive body, consolidating SAFA's transition from a full-fledged democratic organisation to one dominated by its executive body — rendering SAFA an illiberal democracy, at best!
- In contrast, **the executive branch's powers were expanded** with the addition of the power to intervene in a Member's administrative and/or financial matters, as well as the power to suspend a person - all of which were not available in prior editions of the Statutes.
- SAFA exhibits many of the characteristics of an illiberal democracy:
- It is sustained by a patronage system that lends itself to **abuse of executive power**;
- There is no effective balance of power between its executive, legislative and judicial arms as **one branch of the governance structure totally dominates the organisation**;
- Debates in its legislative branch are limited through unrealistic time constraints intended to stifle dissenting voices. The legislative branch meets *once a year for a few hours* under a narrowly defined agenda with the full expectation that all decisions of the executive branch would be rubberstamped;
- Its elected officials have little regard for constitutional norms, actively suppressing dissent;
- Information is strictly managed to preserve control of the organisation's prevailing narrative;
- The executive branch dispenses justice as it sees fit through the use of *ad hoc* committees for disciplinary purposes, while judicial panels are not timeously presented to Congress for approval;
- The executive branch routinely usurps the functions of other bodies (the Secretariat, the judicial bodies, the standing committees, etc.);
- Because the constitution is changed so frequently, no principles can be maintained for a long time.
- At best, SAFA is an illiberal democracy where **democracy is hyped, but never practiced in spirit** because it is seen merely as a nuisance because the actual practice is uncomfortable.
- In some ways, SAFA can also be described as an **anocracy** – a governing system comprising elements of democracy mixed with autocratic features. It has a democratic constitution, professes democratic values, but has been prone to autocratic leadership with a distinct lack of tolerance for differing viewpoints outside of a favoured circle.
- The complete **banishment of long-serving football officials** like Ria Ledwaba, Gay Mokoena, Lucas Nhlapo, Abel Rakoma, 6 out of the last 12 Vice-Presidents who served under Jordaan and the total isolation of the Vice-President from the NSL over three terms, shows a **75% broken relationship with the elected Vice-Presidents** and is indicative of an illiberal leadership.
- SAFA **Ordinary Congresses must be held once a year**. SAFA only had Ordinary Congresses as follows:

• 2020 – None →2021 – None →2022 – 26 March 2022 →2023 – None →2024 – 14 April 2024

On the claim that there is an election campaign that people are using to chase away the SAFA sponsors

JORDAAN’S COMMENTS ON THE PURPORTED CAMPAIGN AGAINST HIM:

- I just want to also say that South African football is in the claws of a huge debate around elections. Elections is next year, but it has already started and you see some newspapers carrying lots of stories. We are prepared to come and account and give you the factual position but you must know that there are people who’s deliberately driving this campaign aggressively through three newspapers, Sunday papers, and every Sunday there is a story. Every Sunday there is a story.
- And the sad thing is that when SAFA or Bafana started winning, they became very unhappy. And as Bafana progressed, the campaign intensified. So, we must be clear about that. But, we are gonna focus on supporting our Coach and making sure that our team qualifies. The other is to qualify women football and make our youth teams go to the World Cup.
- But it’s not easy because the campaign is also intended to drive away the sponsors. The CEO can tell you, whenever ... let me give you a concrete example, last Sunday, the Under 20 arrived. They won. There was a huge celebration at the airport. On Sunday, three Sunday newspapers wrote nothing about the Under 20; wrote lot of stories and it only happens to football. It won’t happen to rugby and to cricket. And that you must know; this is a political campaign; it’s a vicious campaign, but we will stand our ground and we will deliver the football that the people want in this country. We are not afraid. We’ve seen worse.
- But, we will stand firm and I just want to say to you that that must not be read, uh they don’t want to account. We’ll account to you. We’ll account to you. That’s why we are asking, we’ll come back and give you the accounts, the 2019 ... I just looked at my financial statements. I have 2020, 2021, 2022. I was looking for 2019. But I will bring you the 2019.
- As far as the Legacy Trust is concerned, I have a copy of the Legacy Trust Closing Report and if you want it I can give it to you. But you must know that the Legacy Trust is a FIFA Legacy Trust. It’s FIFA’s money that’s in the Legacy Trust and no South African money there. It’s FIFA’s money. And at the end we had to account to FIFA and FIFA agreed to close it. And we’ll give you all the correspondence.
- But you will see this question of the 10 million also, I asked the good Professor, who is the ... he’s not part of SAFA. He’s a Professor at the university but he’s our Auditor. Now, if we are corrupt, then so is he. And this is an indictment against our member. So, if you just give him two minutes – Prof – to explain this notion of 10 million that apparently was paid to me. It’s nonsense.

MR RASHIED SMALL, CHAIRPERSON, SAFA AUDIT AND COMPLIANCE COMMITTEE ON THE R10M PAYMENT TO JORDAAN:

- Thank you chair. I think I received the documentation and I spend a whole lot of last night and this morning going through the documentation. In fact, when I looked at it and I studied and looked at all the contracts and who initiated it, it comes on since 2017 or 2007 and it went up

until after the World Cup 2011, and that is-- and I think it was an agreement to say that Dr Jordaan should be-- relinquish his position at or suspend his position as CEO of SAFA and take up a position in the Local Organizing Committee and there are contractual information which I think they can share with you to show that the agreement was, that SAFA instituted, when the resignation came that there was-- they appointed attorneys to look at what is a fair salary they came to what the fair salary, together with unpaid leave was, which came to 10 million that was in a contract between SAFA and Dr Jordaan in terms of the payment that will be made which was 5.4 and 4.18 ... 118 and some odds. So it came to about 10,000-- 10 million and that is in a contractual agreement and the two parties agreed in terms of everyone signed it with the relevant authorities to say this is a payment that will be made.

- So that is the information that I had to have a look at last night but like I said there were documentary evidence together with signatures, etc. So what happened in terms of the allegations and that for me I will say that if you can't bring me evidence and you can't substantiate anything and I saw evidence in terms of what was submitted to me with signatures of the various different parties etc. I said that asked Dr Jordaan just to tell me that they had an Annexure A which said how the amounts will be paid that wasn't attached to it but based on that and I said I also then look further to investigate was those amounts included in the tax return because it should have been included in the tax return because it's still an employer remuneration agreement or severance package that should be made.

So that's in terms of that so, and I said, I told them that I'll do an investigation, write the report and maybe they can then take it from there to say that this is factual information, this is the evidence and this is where the situation is in terms of that. Thank you.

FACT CHECK ON THE R10m PAYMENT TO JORDAAN:

SAFA advanced three different explanations for the R10m attributed to Danny Jordaan in a viral screenshot that appeared in the media:

- (i) SAFA's lawyer told City Press that Jordaan did not receive the R10m;
- (ii) A SAFA press statement stated that Jordaan did receive the R10m and provided a list;
- (iii) SAFA's Audit Committee Chairperson advanced different reasons to Parliament.

Breaking Down the Inconsistencies:

Here is a breakdown of the inconsistencies that require further examination:

1. **On 10 May 2025**, SAFA's lawyer, Lesedi Mphahlele, stated in a letter to City Press that Jordaan did not receive R10m from SAFA¹;
2. **On 11 May 2025**, SAFA issued a press statement providing a breakdown of the R10m payout, which contradicted the SAFA lawyer's statement². The press statement indicated that Jordaan was SAFA CEO until 2008; CEO of the World Cup Bid until 2006; and the CEO of the World Cup LOC from 2006 until 2011.

¹ <https://www.news24.com/citypress/sport/safas-lawyer-lesedi-mphahlele-explains-r10m-payout-to-danny-jordaan-20250517-1091>

² <https://safa.net/2025/05/11/r10-million-claim-false-and-malicious/>

The press statement also indicated that the R10m was made up of the following payments to Jordaan since 2007:

- SAFA meeting allowances;
- NEC Member Honoraria;
- Separation payments after finishing at the 2010 LOC;
- Bonus paid to the Three Musketeers (R7.5m each);
- Payments from CAF.

Fact Checking the Press Statement:

On Jordaan's Dates of Service: These dates do not align with the actual terms he served.

NAME OF CEO	SERVED FROM	TO	TOTAL (Months)
Danny Jordaan	May 1997	March 1999	22
Raymond Hack	April 1999	September 2000	17
Danny Jordaan	October 2000	March 2001	6
Albert Mokoena	April 2001	September 2004	41
Danny Jordaan	October 2004	April 2005	7
Raymond Hack	May 2005	December 2009	55
Leslie Sedibe	January 2010	January 2011	13
Pinky Lehoko	February 2011	July 2011	6
Robin Petersen	August 2011	December 2012	17
Dennis Mumble	January 2013	September 2018	69
Russell Paul	October 2018	October 2019	12
Gay Mokoena	November 2019	April 2020	6
Tebogo Motlanthe	May 2020	May 2023	36
Lydia Monyepao	May 2023	To date	28

On the Allowances: Jordaan was ineligible for meeting allowances between 1997 and 2010. He was employed by SAFA or the two World Cup Bids and the 2010 LOC during that period. He only qualified for an allowance upon election to the SAFA NEC in December 2010.

On the NEC Member Honoraria: Jordaan became eligible for the honorarium only when he was elected onto the NEC in December 2010. The total honorarium he was eligible for amounts to about R900,000.00.

On the Separation Payments after Finishing at the 2010 LOC: Kirsten Nematandani and Shoes Mazibuko, then President and Vice-President in 2010, negotiated a severance package that resulted in Jordaan not returning to SAFA after his deployment to the LOC. The severance payment was either R3m or R5m. Jordaan was paid a full salary by the LOC between 2005 and 2010 and a success bonus by FIFA after the 2010 FIFA World Cup.

On the Bonus Paid to the Three Musketeers (R7.5m each): The World Cup Bid Success Bonus was paid to Molefi Oliphant, Irvin Khoza and Danny Jordaan. According to a Cape Argus story, quoting SAFA COO Albert Mokoena, published on 27 July 2004³, the actual payment was R7,4m to each of the three persons.

On the Payments from CAF: Each Association President received a \$20,000.00 annual stipend from CAF between 2017 and 2020. This would amount to \$80,000.00 for the four years in question. *Inside World Football* magazine on 22nd October 2024, reported that the CAF Exco approved an increase in the annual allowance from \$20,000 to \$50,000. The article indicated: "Danny Jordaan and [Jaques] Anouma, the two special advisors to CAF president Patrice Motsepe, will also receive a raise of \$20,000". It therefore appears that Jordaan may receive \$70,000 annually from CAF as SAFA President and Advisor.

3. **On 3 June 2025**, the SAFA Audit Committee chairperson told the Parliamentary Portfolio Committee hearing, broadcast live on national television on 3 June 2025 that Jordaan did receive the R10m, but his explanation differed from the previous two versions, i.e. it was a severance package and for "outstanding leave" for serving a grand total of 35 months as CEO of SAFA between 1997 and 2005. The chairperson told Parliament:

"In fact, when I looked at it and I studied and looked at all the contracts and who initiated it, it comes on since 2017 or 2007 and it went up until after the World Cup 2011, and that is-- and I think it was an agreement to say that Dr Jordaan should be-- relinquish his position at or suspend his position as CEO of SAFA and take up a position in the Local Organizing Committee and there are contractual information, which I think they can share with you, to show that the agreement was, that SAFA instituted, when the resignation came, that there was-- they appointed attorneys to

³ <https://iol.co.za/capeargus/sport/2004-07-27-mokoena-defends-trios-payouts/>

look at what is a fair salary, they came to what the fair salary, together with unpaid leave was, which came to 10 million that was in a contract between SAFA and Dr Jordaan in terms of the payment that will be made which was 5.4 and 4.18 ... 118 and some odds. So it came to about 10,000-- 10 million and that is in a contractual agreement and the two parties agreed in terms of everyone signed it with the relevant authorities to say this is a payment that will be made."

The Audit Committee Chairperson indicates the **contractual** amounts were about "5.4" and "4.118", which amounted to less than R10 million. This included a "fair salary" and "unpaid leave".

Jordaan did not receive a salary from SAFA as he worked for and was paid a salary by the 2010 LOC from 2005 to 2010. He served for 35 months in the SAFA CEO position, staggered over 6 years (between 1997 and 2005) and took leave each year. How could there have been unpaid leave?

On Jordaan's Term of Office and His Severance Package:

The severance package appears to be a hugely disproportionate payment in view of the 2004 payment of R7,4m from SAFA for being part of a team that successfully bid for the FIFA World Cup hosting rights, and in light of the fact that he only served for 35 months as SAFA CEO. The two longest-serving SAFA CEOs in the last 28 years were Dennis Mumble (69 months) and Raymond Hack (72 months) and neither received severance packages.

Conclusion:

On closer examination, the figures do not add up at all:

- The SAFA honorarium averaged about R110,00.00 a year for the period in question. He was not eligible for the honorarium as CEO for the periods between 1997 and 2010 when he was an employee of the SAFA, the Bids and the 2010 LOC. Jordaan only became eligible for the honorarium when he was elected to the NEC in 2010. The total honorarium he was eligible for amounts to about **R900,000.00**.
- Jordaan received **R5.4m and R4.118m**, according to the Audit Committee Chairperson's statement above;
- Jordaan's severance package was either **R3m or R5m** as negotiated with Nematandani and Mazibuko in 2011;
- The World Cup Bid success bonus was actually **R7.4m⁴** (paid by SAFA).

⁴ <https://iol.co.za/capeargus/sport/2004-07-27-mokoena-defends-trios-payouts/>

- Jordaan was not eligible for SAFA meeting allowances between 1997 and 2010, when he was elected as a SAFA Vice-President on 4 December 2010;
- Starting in 2017, each Association President received a \$20,000.00 annual stipend from CAF (sent to SAFA for onward transmission to Jordaan). This would amount to **USD80,000.00** for the four years in question (approx. **R1.2m** at a 15:1 exchange rate).

These amounts do **not include** the following payments Jordaan also received:

Position	Period	Proportional Amount	Amount
Salary as the CEO of SAFA before 2005	35 months		
Salary as CEO of the 2006 World Cup Bid	17 months		
Salary as CEO of the 2010 World Cup Bid	41 months		
Salary as the CEO of the 2010 World Cup LOC	55 months		
“Success bonus” from FIFA after the 2010 FIFA World Cup	2010	\$3m?	R45m?
Allowances claimed from SAFA for foreign trips, irrespective of whether these were SAFA trips	2019 to January 2024	Various	R1.5m
CAF Presidential allowances from 2020, which increased to USD50,000 per annum from 2025	Until December 2024	\$20,000 p.a. (\$80,000)	R1.2m
Advisor to the CAF President	Until 2024	\$20,000 p.a. (\$80,000)	R1.2m

According to their own statements (SAFA’s press statement, SAFA’s lawyer’s letter to City Press, and the Audit Committee Chair’s statement), Jordaan therefore received no less than **R21,118m** (assuming that the severance package was R3m and not R5m).

The various statements, coming from the same source (SAFA itself), contradict each other and require further investigation. Under no circumstances do these amounts, in whole or in part, constitute the R10m as reflected in the screenshot publicly circulated in the media. It is wholly unclear what the purpose of Jordaan’s intervention about a political campaign is intended to address. As the Chairperson said, the Committee has no desire to interfere in SAFA’s internal affairs beyond providing oversight and demanding accountability in terms of its mandate and also to ensure that SAFA does not violate its own rules.

It has become a habit for Jordaan to appear before the Portfolio Committee and then proceed to insult Members of the Committee, as he has done with Honourable Bergman and Honourable Mhlongo on numerous occasions, and consistently fails to present the Committee with quantitative information to enable the Committee to measure progress between meetings with SAFA. The delegation refused to reveal sponsorship details, stated that SAFA complies with all demographic representation criteria, and that it is serious about women's empowerment, but provided no statistics to back up the statements.

It is unfortunate that Jordaan abused his presence to berate his critics who were not present in the meeting to respond to the unspecified allegations that he obliquely referred to as a campaign.

Sasol has not renewed the Banyana sponsorship as of 2 July 2025.

The Sasol sponsorship renewal was announced on 24 October 2025.⁵

Thomas Kwenaithe on Facebook: Portfolio Committee hints at forensic investigation of SAFA

ADV SHAMILLAH SALIE'S QUESTIONS (None of her questions were answered):

In a startling revelation during the grilling of SAFA on Tuesday, a member of the Parliament Portfolio committee on Sport, Arts and Culture has levelled damning allegations against SAFA.

A Parliamentary Portfolio committee member on Sports, Arts and Culture tabled bombshell questions to the South African Football Association during an enquiry sitting on Tuesday and demanded answers within a week.

Portfolio Committee member Advocate Shameemah Salie claimed that a non-profit company was created to organize a charity event to raise funds in aid of Palestine but the funds raised have disappeared?

Advocate Salie charged that a charity football match dubbed the South African Freedom Charity Cup was staged during 2024 and proceeds from the match were supposed go towards aiding Palestine.

⁵ <https://www.sasol.com/media-centre/media-releases/sasol-and-safa-renew-longstanding-banyana-banyana-partnership>

She noted that money was deposited into the non-profit organization called Football for Humanity's account and afterwards an agreement had been reached that the funds would be equally shared between SAFA, Football for Humanity and Four Stepping Stones company.

However, information that she had gathered indicated that the money did go into the Football for Humanity account, of which disconcertingly, one of the signatories of this account is SAFA Western Cape President Bennett Bailey.

"To date," charged Advocate Salie, "not a cent has been disbursed to Palestine.

"To date, no money has come from SAFA, and not a cent has been sent to Palestine as the event was intended. So, what happened to the money? I want answers"

She then went on and tabled a number of questions and demanded answers from SAFA in writing with the next seven days.

- * The financials presented by SAFA, were they endorsed by the SAFA NEC and were they circulated to all the members of the NEC at their annual general meeting and how many members were present at that meeting as well as minutes of that meeting?
- * SAFA has been riddled with an assortment of legal proceedings due to poor governance, lack of compliance, internal dispute and other concerning situations. What I want to know is how, many criminal and civil cases are pending and finalised against SAFA and its members over the past five years?
- * Can you provide a list of all cases, findings and the status of these matters?
- * What was the costs of legal proceedings to date against SAFA? I know you tried to provide some information, but I know that is not all the matters.
- * With regards to the legal matters, what was the internal process and reasons for the dismissal of Ms Namhla Mpelo? Was fair labour practises followed and what was the protection for the person being a whistleblowers?
- * SAFA has been ordered by the Western Cape High scourt to pay approximately R2-m to clubs in the provincial Sasol Women's League and that payment must be made by the 20th of March 2025. And that is after a court order finding two provincial leaders in SAFA in contempt and they too were fined R50 000 each. Has payment being made with regard to the court orders and contempt? If not, why not?
- * Why did SAFA suspend 14 clubs after the court order was made and SAFA was found guilty? Serveral of these clubs come from impoverished areas and it is women that are being targetted?

-
- * The position of the Western Cape Administrator is currently held by one Nadia Constable, please advise when was the advertisement of this position done? How many candidates applied? What was the process of filling this position?
 - * Who is the owner of the team Salim United, who is the owner of the club? What position did this club hold in the Castle League? How was this club moved to the ABC Motsepe League? What was the criteria to promote them? How much money is provided to ABC Motsepe and Castle League teams? Do you have a spreadsheet of these funds?
 - * How much money does SAFA National give SAFA Cape Town regarding the South African Freedom Charity Cup during 2024? That was a game played between South Africa and Palestine.
 - * Further to this, there was an agreement that all the profits of the event will be used in aid of Palestine at least why it was broadcast. Stakeholders to this event was Four Stepping Stones, SAFA and a Non-Profit Company (NPC) called Football for Humanity. We have a certificate detailing that Mr Bennett Bailey (SAFA Western Cape President) is one of the signatories to this particular NPC. It is noted that money was supposed to go to this particular account of Football for Humanity and afterwards the money was supposed to be split among the relevant entities.
 - * To date, not a cent has come from SAFA into this Football for Humanity account, not a cent has actually gone to Palestine? So, the money related to this agreement, what happened? It went to Football for Humanity, what happened to the money?
 - * Supporters of this event have been asking questions regarding what has happened? So, I want a complete breakdown of what happened to the moneies accrued from this Freedom Charity Cup?
 - * Africa for Palestine has launching a civil case against SAFA for allegedly instigating their assault at Loftus Stadium by police during a peaceful demonstration, have you responded because I know they are civily suing you? I also know that criminal and charges are currently being laid. Lets have the answers.